

Effects of Management Practices on Reflectance of Spring Wheat Canopies¹

C. S. T. Daughtry, M. E. Bauer, D. W. Crecelius, and M. M. Hixson²

ABSTRACT

Analyses of multispectral measurements from satellites offer the potential to monitor and inventory crop production. The crop canopy is a dynamic entity influenced by many cultural and environmental factors. In order to quantify and understand some of these potential sources of variation in spectral measurements of crops, an experiment was conducted on a Williams loam (fine-loamy, mixed Typic Argiborolls) at the Williston, North Dakota, Agricultural Experiment Station in 1977. The effects of soil moisture, planting date, nitrogen fertilization, and cultivar on reflectance of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) canopies were investigated. Spectral measurements were acquired on eight dates throughout the growing season with a radiometer (Exotech 100 A) in four wavelength bands (0.5 to 0.6, 0.6 to 0.7, 0.7 to 0.8, 0.8 to 1.1 μm) at 3.5 m above the plots. On the days spectral data were taken, measurements of crop maturity stage, leaf area index, biomass, plant height, percent soil cover, and soil moisture were also taken.

In this experiment, planting date and available soil moisture were the primary agronomic factors affecting reflectance of spring wheat canopies from tillering to maturity. Comparisons (R^2) of treatments indicated that during seedling and tillering stages, planting date was associated with 36 and 85% of variation in red (0.6 to 0.7 μm) and near infrared (0.8 to 1.1 μm) reflectances, respectively. As the wheat headed and matured, less variation in reflectance was associated with planting date and more with available soil moisture. By mid July soil moisture accounted for 73 and 69% of the variation in reflectance in red and near infrared bands, respectively. Differences in spectral reflectance among treatments were attributed to changes in leaf area index (LAI), biomass, and percent soil cover. Cultivar and N fertilization rate had little association with the variation in reflectance of these canopies. Agronomic practices resulting in differences in LAI, biomass, and percent soil cover potentially can be monitored by remote sensing and this information may be useful in estimating crop production.

Additional index words: Remote sensing, Crop canopy, Leaf area index, Biomass, *Triticum aestivum* L.

THE reflectance properties of single plant leaves have been identified and studied for over a decade. Relationships of physical and biological characteristics, such as leaf morphology, chlorophyll concentration, and leaf water content, to reflectance of leaves have been well established. Several comprehensive reviews of various aspects of this research have been published (2, 4, 5, 7, 12).

Although knowing the reflectance characteristics of single leaves is basic to understanding the reflectivity of crop canopies, significant differences exist between spectra of single leaves and crop canopies. Canopies are more than simple collections of leaves. Complex interactions which are not factors when spectra of sin-

gle leaves are measured must be considered in remote sensing of canopies grown under field conditions. Some important agronomic parameters influencing crop canopy reflectance are leaf area index, percent soil cover, biomass, leaf geometry, leaf color, and soil color (2, 3, 7). Because crop canopies are dynamic entities, they are influenced by many management practices and environmental factors, including cultivar seeding rate, fertilization, soil moisture, and disease (1, 2, 3, 9, 14).

Crop identification and crop area estimation promise to be two of the major applications of remote sensing. In the recently completed Large Area Crop Inventory Experiment (LACIE), this technology was pushed to near operational use for wheat (10). Remote sensing also has considerable potential for acquiring information about crop conditions and yields (2, 14). If multispectral remote sensing is to successfully identify and inventory crops, it is important to quantify and understand the sources of variation in spectral measurements of crops. Some variation may be associated with important agronomic factors, which it may be desirable to monitor or inventory (e.g., dryland vs. irrigated wheat). It is also important to know the magnitude of variation associated with a factor, such as cultivar, which one probably could not monitor.

The objectives of this experiment were 1) to determine the relationship of canopy characteristics to the reflectance of spring wheat and 2) to determine the effect of several agronomic treatments, which represented farming practices of the northern U.S. Great Plains, on the multispectral reflectance of spring wheat during different maturity stages.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data were collected at the North Dakota State Agricultural Experiment Station at Williston (43.32°N, 103.42°W) during the summer of 1977. The station, in the gently rolling uplands above the Missouri River Valley, is representative of dryland farms in the region. Because of limited precipitation (36 cm per year) most land is planted to crops every other year and is left fallow in intermediate years to accumulate subsoil moisture.

The spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) experiment was a split plot design. Within each available soil moisture condition (whole plot), there were two blocks (replications) of a factorial experiment with cultivar, nitrogen fertilization, and planting date treatments:

soil moisture:	low (wheat crop in 1976) high (fallow in 1976)
planting date:	early (9 May 1977) late (23 May 1977)
cultivar:	semi-dwarf (Olaf) standard (Waldron)
nitrogen fertilization:	none 44 kg N/ha.

The plots were 3.5 m wide and 15.3 m long with 18 cm wide, north-south rows. The soil was a Williams loam (fine-loamy, mixed Typic Argiborolls) which has a dark brown (10 YR 3/2) surface color when moist and very light (10 YR 4/3) color when

¹Contribution from the Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing and Dep. of Agronomy, Purdue Univ. Journal Paper 8054, Purdue Agr. Exp. Stn., West Lafayette, IN 47907. This study was supported by NASA Contracts NAS9-14970 and NAS9-15466. Received 2 May 1980.

²Research agronomists, former graduate assistant, and research statistician.

dry. The profile of fallow soil contained 20% (3 cm) more water in the 20 to 60 cm zone than the soil profile on which wheat was grown the previous year.

Spectral measurements were made with an Exotech 100 radiometer in four wavelength bands 0.5 to 0.6, 0.6 to 0.7, 0.7 to 0.8, and 0.8 to 1.1 μm corresponding to the four spectral bands of the Landsat MSS. Measurements in all bands were taken concurrently and recorded by a printing data logger. Duplicate observations were acquired over each plot and were averaged for these analyses. A boom mounted on a van supported the radiometer vertically at 3.5 m above the canopies and 3.5 m away from the van. At this elevation with a 15° field of view the sensor viewed a 0.9 m diam ground area. All plot reflectances were measured on eight dates during the growing season when the sun angle was greater than 45° above the horizon. On selected dates, reflectances were measured four to eight times per day at near hourly intervals. Only data acquired during a two hour interval centered on solar noon were used in these analyses.

A 1.2 m² panel painted with highly reflecting barium sulfate was used as a reference surface for determination of the bidirectional reflectance factor (11). This reflectance standard provided a field calibration reference with stable, known reflectance properties. A dark level response of the instrument was also obtained by holding an opaque, light-tight apparatus against the instrument's optical ports to measure the internal system noise or deviation from zero. The response of the reference panel was measured about every 15 min during the data collection period and the dark level every 30 minutes. These values were then used in the following equation to calibrate readings taken over the plots:

$$\text{BRF}(\lambda) = \frac{\text{Ds}(\lambda) - \text{ds}(\lambda)}{\text{Dr}(\lambda) - \text{dr}(\lambda)} \cdot \text{Rr}(\lambda)$$

Where, $\text{BRF}(\lambda)$ = bidirectional reflectance factor (%) at a specific wavelength interval (λ),

$\text{Ds}(\lambda)$ = dark level response of instrument taken closest in time to scene,

$\text{Dr}(\lambda)$ = response of instrument to painted barium sulfate reference standard,

$\text{dr}(\lambda)$ = dark level response of instrument taken closest in time to reference standard measurement, and

$\text{Rr}(\lambda)$ = reflectance of painted barium sulfate reference standard (measurement in laboratory by comparison with pressed barium sulfate).

Spectral data were acquired over the north end of these plots and agronomic data were collected from the southern two-thirds of each plot. This kept the plants viewed by the sensor untrampled and intact throughout the growing season. Measurements of crop maturity stage (8), percent soil cover, plant height, leaf area index, biomass, soil moisture, and comments on crop condition were acquired each day reflectance data were acquired. Crop biomass in each plot was estimated by harvesting plants in a 1.0 m length of row (0.178 m²). Each sample was placed in a plastic bag; weighed (fresh biomass); separated into stems (leaf sheath included), heads, leaf blades (green, yellow, and brown); dried at 60 C; and reweighed. The leaf area of a random subsample of green leaf blades from each plot was measured (Lambda Instrument Co., Model LI-3000) and the leaf area: leaf dry weight ratio was estimated. Leaf area index was calculated using this leaf area: leaf weight ratio and the total dry weight of green leaf blades from plants in a 1.0 m length of row. Grain yields were estimated from a 7.4 m² area harvested from the center of each plot with a small self-propelled combine. Vertical color photographs, taken from 6 m, were used to estimate percent soil cover in each plot.

Daily meteorological data useful for describing the growing season were acquired at a National Weather Service cooperative station located on the experiment station grounds. Each day spectral data were collected, additional meteorological measurements including air temperature, barometric pressure, relative humidity, wind speed and direction, and total irradiance were recorded continuously on strip charts. These data were used primarily to document atmospheric conditions on days when spectral data were acquired.

The reflectance data were analyzed as band means and as transformations. The reflectance data were transformed using a

principal component analysis into "greenness" and "brightness" variables as described by Kauth and Thomas (6) for Landsat MSS data and modified for spectrometer data. Correlation analyses were used to quantify the relationship between spectral values and agronomic characteristics. Analysis of variance was used to determine which experimental treatments accounted for the variability in spectral responses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Relation of Canopy Characteristics to Reflectance

The amount of vegetation present is one of the principal factors affecting the crop canopy reflectance. Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between an agronomically important canopy characteristic, leaf area index (LAI), and reflectance in selected wavelength bands. This figure includes data from all treatments when green leaves were present (from seedling through heading). A portion of the scatter in the data is associated with various agronomic treatments, as well as measurement errors in the independent and dependent variables.

As LAI increased, red (0.6 to 0.7 μm) reflectance decreased and near infrared (0.8 to 1.1 μm) reflectance increased (Fig. 1). These relationships of LAI and reflectance are slightly nonlinear, particularly in the red band. Studies of other canopies have indicated asymptotic responses of reflectance at leaf area indices greater than 3 or 4 (3, 14).

Correlations of reflectance measurements in Landsat radiometer bands with several agronomic characteristics of spring wheat canopies are shown in Table




Fig. 1. Relationship of red (0.6 to 0.7 μm) and near infrared (0.8 to 1.1 μm) reflectance and leaf area index of spring wheat canopies.

1. Fresh biomass, dry biomass, and plant water content correlated most highly with reflectance in the visible wavelengths and with the greenness transformation. Leaf area index and percent soil cover correlated highly with red and near infrared reflectance and the greenness transformation. Previous research indicates that the amount of photosynthetically active (green) vegetation is highly correlated with reflectance of crop

Table 1. Correlations of bidirectional reflectance factor with selected agronomic characteristics of spring wheat canopies for three time intervals.

Wavelength band, μm	Plant height	% soil cover	Leaf area index	Fresh biomass	Dry biomass	Plant water content [†]
<u>Seedling to harvest maturity</u>						
0.5-0.6	-0.40	-0.77	-0.74	-0.74	-0.54	-0.76
0.6-0.7	-0.23	-0.69	-0.79	-0.67	-0.36	-0.75
0.7-0.8	0.20	0.14	0.52	0.13	0.22	0.32
0.8-1.1	0.02	0.45	0.81	0.45	0.03	0.64
Greenness	0.17	0.67	0.88	0.65	0.25	0.81
Sample size	256	224	165	224	224	224
<u>Seedling to soft dough</u>						
0.5-0.6	-0.63	-0.83	-0.79	-0.80	-0.65	-0.84
0.6-0.7	-0.55	-0.80	-0.81	-0.74	-0.57	-0.80
0.7-0.8	0.03	0.30	0.52	0.23	0.03	0.32
0.8-1.1	0.37	0.66	0.81	0.61	0.39	0.70
Greenness	0.51	0.80	0.88	0.75	0.53	0.82
Sample size	153	153	153	153	153	153
<u>Seedling to flowering</u>						
0.5-0.6	-0.76	-0.89	-0.86	-0.85	-0.76	-0.86
0.6-0.7	-0.73	-0.88	-0.86	-0.82	-0.73	-0.84
0.7-0.8	0.37	0.51	0.56	0.46	0.34	0.49
0.8-1.1	0.65	0.80	0.83	0.76	0.64	0.79
Greenness	0.75	0.91	0.91	0.85	0.74	0.88
Sample size	101	101	101	101	101	101

[†] Plant water content = fresh biomass - dry biomass.

canopies (1, 3, 9). The decreased correlations of canopy variables and reflectance as the wheat began to ripen and senesce substantiated these observations (Table 1).

Plant growth and development were significantly influenced by the level of available soil moisture (Table 2) and these changes in canopy characteristics were manifested in their reflectances (Fig. 2 and 3). For example, canopies of wheat planted early on fallow soil (high soil moisture) had significantly greater biomass, LAI, percent soil cover, infrared reflectance, and lower red reflectance than canopies of other treatment combinations (Table 2).

Precipitation the day before the day when spectral data were acquired tended to decrease both red and infrared reflectances. Approximately 10 to 12 mm of rain, which darkened the soil, fell prior to the acquisition of spectral measurements on 23 June and 7 July and probably contributed to the abrupt decreases in reflectance on these dates. These decreases are most evident for those canopies of late planted wheat (Fig. 2 and 3) which had the lowest soil cover percentages (Table 2). Thompson (13) noted similar decreases in scene radiances following precipitation and was able to delineate precipitation patterns and drought severity using Landsat MSS imagery.

Relation of Agronomic Treatments to Reflectance

The high correlations of red (0.6 to 0.7 μm) and near infrared (0.8 to 1.1 μm) reflectance to green leaf area index and percent soil cover (Table 1) potentially can provide the basis to inventory indirectly certain cultural and management practices which could be useful in assessing crop production. For example, if the ratios of near infrared to red (IR/red) reflectances

Table 2. Mean agronomic characteristics of spring wheat canopies as influenced by two soil moisture levels and two planting dates.

Measurement date	Soil moisture level	Planting date	Maturity stage	Plant height*	Soil cover	Leaf area index	Fresh biomass	Dry biomass
				cm	%		g/m ²	
1 June	High	Early	Tillering	14 a	7 a	0.2 a	72 a	8 a
			Seedling	9 c	2 c	0.1 a	34 c	2 b
	Low	Early	Tillering	13 b	6 b	0.3 a	59 b	7 a
			Seedling	9 c	2 c	0.2 a	35 c	3 b
18 June	High	Early	Tillering	29 a	46 a	1.7 a	770 a	105 a
			Tillering	23 b	23 b	0.7 b	336 b	30 b
	Low	Early	Tillering	24 b	21 b	0.8 b	408 b	50 b
			Tillering	23 b	20 b	0.7 b	315 b	29 b
3 July	High	Early	Heading	47 a	56 a	1.8 b	1,460 a	328 a
			Jointing	30 c	54 ab	2.4 a	1,102 b	226 b
	Low	Early	Heading	40 b	43 b	0.9 c	711 c	186 bc
			Jointing	29 c	51 c	1.4 b	660 c	150 c
14 July	High	Early	Watery	65 a	58 a	1.3 b	1,533 b	620 a
			Heading	58 b	60 a	2.1 a	1,713 a	480 b
	Low	Early	Watery	46 c	42 c	0.5 d	723 c	285 c
			Heading	46 c	49 b	0.9 c	715 c	215 d
20 July	High	Early	Milk	67 a	51 a	0.7 b	1,526 a	603 a
			Milk	68 a	51 a	1.2 a	1,456 a	477 b
	Low	Early	Milk	45 b	38 c	0.2 c	788 b	307 c
			Milk	48 b	44 b	0.5 b	695 b	224 c
5 Aug.	High	Early	Hard Dough	65 a	46 a	0	794 b	468 b
			Soft Dough	66 a	46 a	0.1	1,124 a	554 a
	Low	Early	Hard Dough	45 b	30 c	0	439 c	237 c
			Soft Dough	46 b	35 b	0	564 c	259 c

* Means followed by the same letter within each date are not significantly different at P = 0.05 level by Duncan's Multiple Range test.

of these wheat canopies at heading are plotted against grain yields, two distinct data groupings are observed (Fig. 4). Wheat canopies with high IR/red reflectance also had high grain yields. Wheat grown on fallow soil (high soil moisture) produced 1,748 and 1,488 kg/ha of grain for early and late planting dates, respectively, compared to 648 and 724 kg/ha of grain for early and late-planted wheat, respectively, with low soil moisture.

Although no statistical test for the main effect of soil moisture level (whole plot in a nested design) was available, significant interactions of other factors with soil moisture provided indications of its significance. A method of ascertaining the overall importance of the experimental treatments was to consider the percentage of total variability from analysis of variance (ANOVA) accounted for by each treatment in several wavelength bands and transformation (Tables 3, 4, and 5). This approach permitted an evaluation of the effect of available soil moisture on reflectance of these canopies.

On 1 June, planting date was the primary factor affecting spectral response (Tables 3, 4, and 5). With a 14-day difference between early and late planting dates there were significant differences in the agronomic, as well as spectral characteristics of the wheat canopies (Table 2). Wheat planted at the later planting date (23 May) was at the seedling stage, while the early planted wheat (9 May) was beginning to tiller. Differences in spectral response may be partially attributed to the significant differences in percent soil

cover, leaf area index, and biomass, but since all wheat canopies covered less than 10% of the soil, differences in soil surface roughness are probably the major contributors to the differences in spectral response. Spectral data were acquired after soil of the early planted wheat had been smoothed and crusted by several rains, while soil of the late planted wheat was still rough from planting.

By early July differences in vegetative growth and spectral response due to planting date were reduced as the late planted wheat was nearly the same size as the early planted wheat (Table 2). At this time, heading to flowering stages of maturity, soil moisture availability was the major factor affecting growth and development, and spectral response of the wheat canopies.

Although there were significant differences in July due to planting date, cultivar, and N fertilization, they were small compared to those associated with available soil moisture. The primary difference in these two cultivars was that 'Waldron' reached its full height 2 to 3 days before 'Olaf'. Both cultivars developed similar leaf area and biomass. However, we would expect that wheat cultivars or any other crop which differ greatly in maturity and/or growth characteristics would also differ spectrally as shown by Leamer et al. (9). The addition of N fertilizer had minor effects on wheat growth and accounted for very little variation in reflectance of these wheat canopies in




Fig. 2. Temporal changes in red (0.6 to $0.7 \mu\text{m}$) bidirectional reflectance factor of spring wheat canopies at two levels of soil moisture and two planting dates. The standard errors of the mean (s_z) on each date are smaller than the symbols used on these graphs. Occurrence and amount of precipitation are indicated.




Fig. 3. Temporal changes in infrared (0.8 to $1.1 \mu\text{m}$) bidirectional reflectance factor of spring wheat canopies at two levels of soil moisture and two planting dates. The standard errors of the means (s_z) on each date are smaller than the symbols used on these graphs. Occurrence and amount of precipitation are indicated.

Table 3. Percent of variation (R²) in reflectance of 0.6 to 0.7 μm wavelength band associated with soil moisture (M), cultivar C), N fertilization (N), planting date (P), and their interactions.

Treatment effect	Date							
	1 June	18 June	23 June	3 July	7 July	14 July	20 July	5 Aug.
Moisture	2.3	7.0	15.5	53.3	72.8	62.9	51.9	67.6
Cultivar			1.1			2.4	1.5	1.1
Nitrogen		3.2	9.0	1.9	2.6	3.8	1.3	1.1
Planting	36.5	24.1	4.5	4.1	6.7	9.3	26.6	13.4
MC		2.6	2.1					
MN				1.6				
CN	1.9							
MP	10.4	34.7	45.3	10.9	9.6	6.8	4.7	
CP			3.3					
NP				1.2				
MCN		1.2						
MCP			1.0	1.3				2.0
MNP	1.0	3.4						
CNP								
MCNP				1.9		1.0		
Model†	55.7	78.4	82.8	83.6	94.4	88.6	88.0	89.0

† Model includes variation due to treatments. Total variation is due to blocks, treatments, and experimental error. Percentages less than 1.0 are omitted for clarity but are included in model.

Table 4. Percent of variation (R²) in 0.8 to 1.1 μm wavelength band reflectance associated with soil moisture (M), cultivar (C), N fertilization (N), planting date (P), and their interactions.

Treatment effect	Date							
	1 June	18 June	23 June	3 July	7 July	14 July	20 July	5 Aug.
Moisture	9.3	20.0	28.2	59.8	69.1	62.3	35.5	6.3
Cultivar			10.2	10.0	4.1	2.9	4.4	
Nitrogen			1.4	3.7	2.7	6.7	4.4	
Planting	85.3	58.9	34.8		3.8	5.5	6.2	2.1
MC					2.9	1.6	1.6	
MN		2.6		2.2				1.4
CN							3.8	8.9
MP		4.1			5.9	2.5	10.8	7.1
CP							3.7	
NP							1.9	
MCN								2.4
MCP				2.0			2.2	2.3
MNP		1.2					1.4	7.5
CNP			2.3					
MCNP				4.2	1.3	2.3		
Model†	96.3	89.6	79.9	84.2	91.9	87.2	76.7	41.6

† Model includes variation due to treatments. Total variation is due to blocks, treatments, and experimental error. Percentages less than 1.0 are omitted for clarity but are included in model.




Fig. 4. Relationship of grain yield with ratio of near infrared (0.8 to 1.1 μm) to red (0.6 to 0.7 μm) reflectance at heading on 7 July 1977.

1977 when precipitation for June and July was below normal.

On 20 July, moisture level was the most important factor accounting for differences in spectral response (Tables 3, 4, and 5). Effects due to cultivar and fertilization were not significant except in the greenness transformation (Table 5).

On 5 August, as canopies were ripening, soil moisture level and planting date continued to be the primary factors influencing the spectral response especially in the 0.6 to 0.7 μm band (Table 3) and the greenness transformation (Table 5). Since the canopies had similar maturity stages, differences in spectral response were attributed to differences in percent soil cover and biomass (Table 2).

In summary, planting date and soil moisture status were the primary agronomic factors affecting the reflectance of spring wheat canopies from tillering to maturity in a semi-arid environment. Changes in canopy reflectance due to planting date and soil moisture were attributed to differences in maturity stage,

Table 5. Percent of variation (R²) in the greenness transformation of reflectance associated with soil moisture (M), cultivar (C), N fertilization (N), planting date (P), and their interactions.

Treatment effect	Date							
	1 June	18 June	23 June	3 July	7 July	14 July	20 July	5 Aug.
Moisture	8.1	16.6	41.1	64.2	86.6	72.2	62.8	72.8
Cultivar			8.9	2.9	2.0	2.7	2.8	3.2
Nitrogen			6.1	3.2	3.1	5.5	3.1	3.0
Planting	41.5	46.1	12.4	1.7		7.8	21.0	12.2
MC					1.4			
MN		2.8	1.8	1.7				
CN	1.5							
MP	9.5	15.5	16.0	4.3				
CP								
NP	1.1							
MCN	2.9							
MCP				1.7				
MNP	3.0	2.3						
CNP			1.6					
MCNP	2.4		1.7	5.8		1.6		
Model†	71.8	86.6	90.8	86.1	95.2	92.7	93.4	94.9

† Model includes variation due to treatments. Total variation is due to blocks, treatments, and experimental error. Percentages less than 1.0 are omitted for clarity but are included in model.

leaf area index, biomass, and percent soil cover. Relatively high correlations between these canopy characteristics and reflectance were found. Cultivar and N fertilization had little effect on either the agronomic or spectral reflectance characteristics of these spring wheat canopies. Agronomic practices which result in differences in LAI, biomass, and/or percent soil cover can be monitored by remote sensing and may be useful in estimating crop production for large geographic areas.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express grateful appreciation to B. F. Robinson, L. L. Biehl, and C. F. Parker for assistance in acquiring and preprocessing the data for this study and to E. W. French and N. R. Riveland, North Dakota State Univ., for cooperation in conducting the experiments.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Aase, J. K., and F. H. Siddoway. 1980. Determining winter wheat stand densities using spectral reflectance measurements. *Agron. J.* 72:149-152.
2. Bauer, M. E. 1975. The role of remote sensing in determining the distribution and yield of crops. *Adv. Agron.* 27:271-304.
3. ———, M. C. McEwen, W. A. Malila, and J. C. Harlan. 1979. Design, implementation, and results of LACIE field research. p. 1037-1066. *Proc. of LACIE Symp. JSC-14551. Natl. Aeronaut. Space Admin. Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058. 23-26 Oct. 1978.*
4. Gates, D. M., H. J. Keegan, J. C. Schleiter, and V. R. Weidner. 1965. Spectral properties of plants. *Appl. Opt.* 4:11-20.
5. Gausman, H. W. 1977. Reflectance of leaf components. *Remote Sensing Environ.* 6:1-9.
6. Kauth, R. J., and G. S. Thomas. 1976. The tasselled cap—a graphic description of spectral temporal development of agricultural crops as seen by Landsat. p. 4B-41-51. *Proc. Symp. on Machine Processing of Remote Sensing Data, Purdue Univ., West Lafayette, IN 47906. 29 June-1 July 1976.*
7. Knippling, E. B. 1970. Physical and physiological basis for the reflection of visible and near-infrared radiation from vegetation. *Remote Sensing Environ.* 1:155-159.
8. Large, E. C. 1954. Growth stages in cereals. *Plant Path.* 3:128-129.
9. Leamer, R. W., J. R. Noriega, and C. L. Wiegand. 1978. Seasonal changes in reflectance of two wheat cultivars. *Agron. J.* 70:113-118.
10. MacDonald, R. B., and F. G. Hall. 1978. LACIE: An experiment in global crop forecasting. p. 17-48. *Proc. of LACIE Symp. JSC-14551. Natl. Aeronaut. Space Admin. Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX. 23-26 Oct. 1978.*
11. Robinson, B. F., and L. L. Biehl. 1979. Calibration procedures for measurement of reflectance factor in remote sensing field research. 196:16-26. *Proc. Soc. Photo-optical Instrumentation Engr. 23rd Annual Tech. Symp. on Measurements of Optical Radiation. Bellingham, West Virginia.*
12. Sinclair, T. R., R. M. Hoffer, and M. M. Schreiber. 1971. Reflectance and internal structure of leaves from several crops during a growing season. *Agron. J.* 63:864-868.
13. Thompson, D. R. 1976. Results of LACIE integrated drought analysis—Southern U.S. Great Plains drought. p. 69. *LACIE-00424. JSC-11336. Natl. Aeronaut. Space Admin. Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058.*
14. Wiegand, C. L., A. J. Richardson, and E. T. Kanemasu. 1979. Leaf area estimates for wheat from Landsat and their implications for evapotranspiration and crop modeling. *Agron. J.* 71:336-342.